## **ANNUAL REPORT**

Institutional Review Board

University of North Alabama Florence, Alabama

Dr. Chanho Kang Committee Chair

August 31, 2023 Datesubmitted

Submitted to: <u>Dr. Kristy Oden</u> Chair, Shared Governance Executive Committee

#### UNIVERSITY OF NORTH ALABAMA

#### ANNUAL REPORT 2022-2023

## I. Executive Summary

As of the end of July 2023, the Institutional Review Board (IRB) receivθφroposals and 22 modifications ubmitted to the committee during the 2023 period. The IRB approved 113 protocols and 22 modifications Three proposals remaid pending and an investigator withdrew a protocol mong the three protocols pending, we had only one research proposal requiring full review, but the research still needed to submit a required document (gatekeeper letter) he IRB rejected two proposals submitted by investigators from other institutions the two proposals failed to meet ethical, regulatory, or methodological stradards So, the IRB had no proposal requiring full review during this period.

## || The Committee's Charge

- 1. To review compliance with and administer the University of North Alabama policy on the Use of Human Research Participants
- 2. To examine the University North Alabama policy on the Use of Human Research Participants annually and assess university practices in light of the information obtained
- 3. To propose changes in university practices relating to the use of human research participants
- 4. To handleany proposals the committee may make affecting university policy
- 5. To submit a final written report electronically by the first day of the fall semester to the Vice President for Academic Affairs and Provost with a copy sent to the Chair of the SGEC.

# III. Committee Members/Leadership Meeting

- 1. November 10, 2022
  - a. Elected Dr. Jill Simpson as IRB vice chair
  - b. Decided to remove the Covitto restrictions of collection including physical presence or contact
- 2. November 14, 2022
  - a. Discussion of procedures for reviewiagsubstantial number of search proposals from research method class igraduate program.
  - b. Based on the definition of research development, testing, and evaluation) designed to develop or contribute to most studies the classare not considered

- researchHowever, ince most research subjects in the class are students under 18the IRB had to review theoreticals.
- c. However, considering the extensive number of proposals (0) from a class, it appears necessary to implement detailed guidelines for the course.

d.

- c. Implemented and improved IRB submission systems utilizing Canvas.
  - i. Provided specific guidelines indicating requirements, steps, and procedures for research proposal, modification, and renewal submission.
  - ii. Set up I to secure documents stored on Canvas.
  - iii. Utilized the system to communicate with researchers when revisions are needed and keep researchers informed about the status of their submissions, modificationand renewals.
- d. Listed a prisoner represent9Rmebe n B30041th appesauideround that rers w3(he)4(ant9R

- Alexandergranted approval for compensating the chair. The decision aims to enhanther ecruitment of adiverse pool of candidates mainly including tenured faculty members, after considering the time consuming role requiring ignificant expertise.
- ii. Successfully recruited tenured faculty members acting as chair and vice chair.
- d. Lack of central location for important documents and files to be retained.
  - i. While not flawless, resolved and addressed the issues when utilizing Campus as a submission systeming this term.
- e. The current IRB protocol submission process is unnecessarily tedious, requiring multiple documents to be sent via email and managed solely by the IRB chair.
  - i. While not flawless, resolved and addressed the issues when utilizing Campus as automission systemuring this term.
  - ii. Continually implemented and improved IRB submission systems using Canvas.

## VI. What does the Committee plan to accomplish?

a. The newchair, vice chair and current committee members plan to accomplish short and longterm goals and objectives.

#### VII. What are the Committee's weaknesses?

a. During the term (20223), the committee made tremendous endeavors to resolve the identified issues and concerns in the **ade** sesponsibilities of the committee. However, there are some common areas for improvement (e.g., the substantial workload of initially reviewing research proposals assigned to the IRB chair and viceair and certain limitations of Canvas when utilizingit as a submission system of the IRB).

#### VIII. Comments

It is my great honor and privilege to collaborate with dedicated colleagues, mainly thanks to Dr. Williams, Dr. Simpson, IRB members, and researchers at UNA.